#### SAA09LA03-602

AUS 27 236

Critical Itam:

Field Monitor Pole Assembly

Total Quantity:

6 (1 per system)

Find Number:

Unit 810 (Azimuth/DME)

Criticality Category:

•

SAA No:

09LA03-002

System/Area:

MSSLS-GS at SLF.

SLS-1 & CLS

NASA

None

PMN

C70-1116

Part No:

ione

Name:

MSBLS-GS

Mfg/

Cutter-Hammer

Drawing/

502654

Part No:

502154-1 (Azimutt/DME)

Sheet No:

1

Function: Receives and decodes the transmitted beams looking for changes in pulse coding. The transmitted signals are detected, amplified and compared with a present signal.

Critical Failure Mode/Failure Mode No: Continuous wave/09LA03-002.049 (Az/DE)

Fallure Cause: Swamping of the DMS receiver and AZ/DMS Monitor due to the CW Capillator operating continuously at the Field Monitor Pole.

Failure Effect: Will generate 3 continous AZ/DME monitor alarms. The system will switch to the backup system. The backup system will see the same mailtunctions and will also shutdown. There is no method of immediate recovery. Possible loss of life/vehicle due to loss of Azimuth, distance and elevation data to the Orbiter.

Datection Method: Monitored by remote control unit operator.

Time to Effect: 2 to 3 minutes. (Begins with orbiter signal interrogation at 22,000 ft. and 10 miles out and ends with touchdown)

#### ACCEPTANCE RATIONALE

-1

## Design:

- The MSBLS design was structured from existing/proven ground-based landing systems and upgraded to meet MiL-E-4158, MiL-STD-454 and all subsidiary specifications in effect at the time of manufacture. Military and standard NASA approved parts, materials and processes were used.
- The design evolved from a timely and in-depth internal design review process sulminating in an optimum reliability/maintainability/ performance end-item product. The design review process included studies such as FMEA, electrical and thermal analysis, sneak droutt analysis, worst case studies, tolerance analysis, etc. which resulted in direct impact of the design.

3050234GW Attachment SLeet 12 of 1. The design was approved via the formal NASA-Eaton PDR, CUR, PCA, FCA and certification process.

#### Test

- The MSBLS program consists of an equipment confidence build-up approach starting from 103% screening of components (burn-in and environmental test). Environmental testing of SRU's and 100% temperature/vibration tests at the LRU and equipment rack-level.
- In plant ATP from functional performance verification and workmanship were performed and witnessed by Eaton, NASA and AFPRO on all LRUs and again at system level.
  - OMPs Z3111 thru Z3115 require system operational testing and validation prior to each Shuttle operational mission.
  - OMRSD File VI requires verification of proper operation prior to use of each landing site in support of a Shuttle launch or landing.

## Inspection:

 OMPs Z6100, Z8111, Z6112, Z6113, Z6114 and Z6115 requires adjustment of the electronic system and verification of proper operation utilizing the built-in test equipment (BITE) in periods of three months or less.

## Failure History:

- Current data on test failures, unexplained anomalies, and other failures experienced during
  ground processing activities can be found in the PRACA database. The PRACA database was
  researched and the following failure data was found on this component in the critical failure
  mode.
- Problem Report No. PV-5-231337 was written against the Azimuth Field Monitor Pole Mounted
  Unit on Runway 15 at the SLF on October 21, 1992. Problem description was, "All three monitor
  alarms are on when Field Monitor 2 Power Switch is turned ON. FM No. 2 jumped to a CW
  mode during the performance of another PR. FM Pole Unit S/N 002 was replaced and the
  system operated satisfactority".
- The GIDEP failure data interchange was researched and no failure data was found on this component in the critical failure mode.

5050234GW Attachment Sheet 13 of 15

# Operational Use:

Correcting Action:

Technician must isolate and switch off the defective Field Monitor at the Electrical Equipment Flack in the AZ/DME shelter. However, this action cannot be taken after 20 minutes prior to a landing when the runway cannot be crossed and their is no access to the equipment.

- Timeframe:

Correcting action must be accomplished by 25 minutes prior to the landing.

SOSOZZ4GW Attachment Sheet 14 4 15