| CIL | | | |
|-----|----------|-------|------|
| EMU | CRITICAL | ITEMS | LIST |

5/30/2002 SUPERSEDES 12/31/2001

Page 1

Date: 3/27/2002

| NAME P/N | | FAILURE MODE & | | |
|---------------------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| 2TY | CRIT | CAUSES | FAILURE EFFECT | RATIONALE FOR ACCEPTANCE |
| | | 126FM01 | | |
| FILTER AND ORIFICE, ITEM 126 | 2/1RB | Restricted flow, no flow. | END ITEM: Blockage of vent gas flow | A. Design - The filter is constructed from an A1S1 316 stainless steel screen tack welded and bonded to an A1S1 304 orifice housing. This stainless steel construction |
| SV772158-3 (1) | | Contamination clogs upstream orifice filter. | path through orifice. | prevents potential corrosion particles. The orifice is 0.022 + 0.001 inch diameter and is protected by a 440 micron filter with 0.0176 opening. |
| | | | | B. Test - |
| | | | GFE INTERFACE: | Component Acceptance Test - |
| | | | Unable to provide | No Acceptance Test performed. |
| | | | ventilation | PDA Test - |
| | | | bypass flow to the CO2 transducer. CO2 transducer | A system performance test per SEMU-60-010 is performed on the CO2 sensor by comparing to a known CO2 partial pressure over the performance range of the sensor. If the filter were clogged, no CO2 would be allowed to flow by the |
| | | | (Item 122) | sensor to produce a reading. |
| | | | unable to | Certification Test - |
| | | | detect level of CO2. | Certified for a useful life of 15 years (ref. SEMU-46-004). |
| | | | | C. Inspection - |
| | | | | Cleanliness level of the item 126, test gases and rigs is maintained and |
| | | | MISSION: None for | inspected to HS3150 EM50A during testing of the PLSS to prevent contamination clogging. |
| | | | single | |
| | | | failure. Not detectable | D. Failure History - None. |
| | | | during EVA. | NOTE . |
| | | | | E. Ground Turnaround - |
| | | | CREW/VEHICLE: None for single | Tested for non-EET processing per FEMU-R-001, Item 122 CO2 Sensor Functional Verification. None for EET processing. |
| | | | failure. | F. Operational Use - |
| | | | Possible loss | Crew Response - |
| | | | of crewman with loss of | Pre EVA: No response, single failure undetectable by crew or ground. EVA: No response, single failure undetectable by crew or ground. |
| | | | CCC. | Training - |
| | | | | Crewmen are trained to recognize the symptoms of high CO2. Operational Considerations - |
| | | | TIME TO EFFECT /ACTIONS: | Flight rules define go/no go criteria related to EMU ventilation and CO2 contro EVA checklist procedures verify hardware integrity and systems operational |
| | | | Days. | status prior to EVA. Real Time Data System allows ground monitoring of EMU systems. |
| | | | TIME | -1 |
| | | | AVAILABLE: | |
| | | | Minutes. | |
| | | | TIME REQUIRED: Seconds. | |
| | | | REDUNDANCY SCREENS: | |
| | | | A-PASS | |
| | | | | |

| CIL EMU CRITICAL I | TEMS LIST | | 5/30/2002 SU | PERSEDES 12/31/2001 | Page 2 Date: 3/27/2002 |
|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| NAME P/N QTY | CRIT | FAILURE MODE & CAUSES | FAILURE EFFECT | RATIONALE FOR ACCEPTANCE | |
| | | 126FM01 | B-FAIL C-PASS | | |

EXTRAVEHICULAR MOBILITY UNIT

SYSTEMS SAFETY REVIEW PANEL REVIEW

FOR THE

I-126 FILTER AND ORIFICE

CRITICAL ITEM LIST (CIL)

EMU CONTRACT NO. NAS 9-97150

Prepared by: MB Changering Approved by: MB Changering

M. Smple HS - Reliability

Clar Ploye J. HS - Engineering Manager for RMu

NASA Crow

NASA / Plogram Manager S