

Grumman Corporation

CRITICAL ITEMS LIST

PAGE 31 OF 34

GRUMMAN

ASSY NOMENCLATURE: MANPLANO/FOOT RESTRAINT

PREPARED BY: L. HANN & F. PERAZZO

REPORT NO: RMS 47 R 8

ASSEMBLY PART NO: 500 2102130

REVISION: 1

DATE: 2 MARCH 1968

FMEA REF REV	NAME, QTY & DRAWING REF DESIGNATION	CRIT	FAILURE MODE AND CAUSE	FAILURE EFFECT	RATIONALE FOR ACCEPTANCE
113	<p>Payload Interface Mechanism (PIM)</p> <p>QTY (1)</p> <p>Dwg C95-105</p>	IR/2	H3 - Inadvertent release of PIM latch due to structural failure of latch, latch spring or lock mechanism resulting from defective material	<p>END ITEM PIM inadvertently releases payload</p> <p>SEE INTERFACE Payload is not secured to MFR</p> <p>MISSION Possible loss of mission due to damaged payload.</p> <p>CREW/VEHICLE Payload is not restrained; possible impact with crewman/vehicle</p>	<p>A. Design Redundancy- Latch and latch lock must fail prior to release of payload. "B" screen is not applicable, latch is a mechanical linkage.</p> <p>In addition to considering the launch loads discussed under cases A1 and B1, the MFR has been designed to accommodate the following conditions in the deployed configurations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Astronaut handling loads of one hundred pounds in any direction. - Inertial response loads of MFR to RMS runaway accelerations (2.6 ft/sec/sec linear accel y, or z axes and 0.5 rad/sec/sec Roll accel about x axes) - RMS constrained motion load of 300 pounds ultimate, any point, any direction. - 140 pound couple by each foot to footplate assembly - 340 pound load applied to any tether/feet assembly. - The design minimizes orbital EVA thermal stresses by utilizing aluminum as the one basic structural material, coated with a low absorption thermal control coating per Grumman spec CSS-MFR-PS-001 <p>Using the above load spectrum design safety margins of 1.14 for deformation and 1.40 for failure have been achieved. All springs are corrosion resistant and will be cycled a small fraction of nominal cyclic life in the 20 mission life of the MFR. Fatigue life based upon random response loads with appropriate stress concentration factors has been established using a scatter factor of 4.0 (e.g., 80 mission fatigue life based upon S-N curves).</p> <p>All materials are per table 1 and 2 of MSFC SPLC-522A, to reduce stress corrosion and are certified for traceability/quantity.</p>

MFR - 31

EXERCISE
 PROCEEDING

74

Grumman Corporation

CRITICAL ITEMS LIST

GRUMMAN

ASSY NAME/ISSUANCE: MANIPULATOR FOOT RESTRAINT

PREPARED BY: L. HAHN & F. PERAZZO

REPORT NO: RA-3 47 11

ASSEMBLY PART NO: 6EO 3048100

REVISION: C

DATE: 3 MARCH 1980

FMEA REF REV	NAME, QTY & DRAWING REF DESIGNATION	CRIT	FAILURE MODE AND CAUSE	FAILURE EFFECT	RATIONALE FOR ACCEPTANCE
H3	<p>Payload Interface Mechanism (PIM)</p> <p>QTY (1)</p> <p>Dwg C95-105</p>	1A/2	H3 - inadvertent release of PIM latch due to structural failure of latch, latch spring or lock mechanism resulting from defective material	<p>END ITEM</p> <p>PIM inadvertently releases payload</p> <p>GEE INTERFACE</p> <p>Payload is not secured to MFR</p> <p>MISSION</p> <p>Possible loss of mission due to damaged payload.</p> <p>CREW/VEHICLE</p> <p>Payload is not restrained, possible impact with crew/vehicle</p>	<p>B. TEST HISTORY</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Acceptance test per procedure 380 94 01 at Grumman (7/783) before and after all tests. ATP includes functional tests of all operating functions and a general visual inspection. 2. Stress test per procedure 384 901 01 at Grumman (7/783). Demonstrated deflection and play less than .5 inch for a five pound load in any direction and deflection less than 3 inches lateral and 2 inches longitudinal for 1 hundred pound loads. 3. Vibration and shock test per procedure 388 58 01 at Grumman (7/783). Demonstrated ability to withstand design levels without structural failure with no significant resonance. Several screws required the application of loctite. 4. APCIMFR ultimate load tests per STS03 0914 at Rockwell (7/83). Loads applied in 4 steps, each comprising 75% of final load no yield was observed at the ultimate load of 84 a final. 5. Thermal vacuum test at JSC (7/2/84). MFR was operated at ambient temperature, plus 221 f and -137 f (average lowest achievable chamber temp) at an average vacuum of .08006 torr. 6. Center of gravity test at JSC (8/2/84). 7. Moment of inertia swing test at JSC (8/4/85). <p>C. INSPECTION</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. SAWPRO inspects all production end items at completion of final assembly. 2. Anodic hard coated aluminum parts inspected for compliance to MIL-A-8625 C by DCAS. Certificate of compliance on file at Grumman Bell page. 3. Thermal Control Coating process is controlled by inspections, post cure, cure, post coating and cure, and sample testing for coating thickness, coating adhesion, and outgassing/absorption. <p>D. FAILURE HISTORY</p> <p>None per PACCA database. The MFR has been successfully stored on five missions, S15 11, 13, 51A, 5N, and 61C.</p> <p>E. TURNAROUND</p> <p>Inspection per 524/PIA 05001 M/C 28 DEC 1978 includes a functional test of all MFR operating functions and a general visual inspection.</p> <p>F. OPERATIONAL USE</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Operational Effect of Failure - Unlikely, due to mass and low rates of payload, that impact would cause any major damage. 2. Crew Action - Full crewman would stabilize some payload in the orbit or would be required to leave orbit. 3. Crew Training - Crew would be trained to do above. 4. Mission Consequence - none. 5. In Flight Check-out - Operation of PIM will be checked out at time of use.